A Single Institution Experience with Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy in Invasive Lobular Carcinoma



Lee JS, Diego EJ, Kirkpatrick L, Steiman JG, Keenan D, Soran A, Johnson RR, McAuliffe PF Division of Surgical Oncology, Magee-Womens Hospital of the University of Pittsburgh Medical Center

UPMC | HILLMAN CANCER CENTER

Background

Invasive lobular carcinoma (ILC) is the second most common type of breast cancer, accounting for approximately 15% of invasive disease.¹ Most ILC tends to be low grade, hormone receptor positive (HR+), and HER2-neu negative (HER2-).^{1,2} There is very little literature available about the benefit of neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NAC) in this subtype. ^{1,2,3}

Hypothesis

To evaluate the impact of NAC in ILC and to identify a subgroup of patients who might benefit most from this treatment.

Methods

- A retrospective review was performed on a prospectively maintained NAC database at a single institution from 2010-2018.
- Demographic, clinicopathologic information, and treatment data were collected in all identified ILC patients.

Characteristics			
Age (mean, range)	5		
	Ρ		
Menopausal status			
ILC histology	Ρ		
ILC phenotype	Η		
	Н		
	Н		
Nottingham grade (NR in 4 patients)	1		
	2		
(iiii 4 patients)			
Ki-67	L		
	N		
	Н		
	V		
ILC invasive lobular carcinoma			

Our findings suggest that the overall benefit of NAC is limited for patients with ILC. However, the HER2+ subgroup had an excellent response to NAC (45% pCR), similar to that seen in their invasive ductal carcinoma counterpart. More research in a larger cohort would be helpful to further investigate the impact of NAC in ILC.

- JC Boughey et al. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy in invasive lobular carcinoma may not improve rate of breast conservation. Ann Surg Oncol. 2009; 16(6):1606-1611.
- LA Riba et al. Characterizing response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy in invasive lobular breast carcinoma. J of Surg Res. 2018;233: 436-443.
- NP Tamirisa et al. The impact of chemotherapy sequence on survival in node-positive invasive lobular carcinoma. J Surg Oncol. 2019; 120:132-141.

21st Annual Meeting, The American Society of Breast Surgeons. Poster ID 785912.

UPMC	Magee-Womens	Hospital
------	---------------------	----------

		Results		
s at Presentation (n=64)				Treatmen
58 (35-78)				No breast surg
Pre	17 (27%)		Breast response	Mastectomy
Post	47 (73%)			Breast conserv
Classical	39 (61%)			
Pleomorphic	16 (25%)			BCT no
Mixed	9 (14%)			Overall breast
HR+/HER2-	53 (83%)			Overall master
HR+/HER2+	8(12%)			
HR-/HER2+	3 (5%)		Pre-NAC clinic	
HR-/HER2-	0		Axillary response	Post-NAC: cN+
1	3 (5%)			Post-NAC: cN+
2	37 (58%)			Overall
3	20 (31%)			
Low <10%	20 (31%)			By ILC Phenoty
Moderate 11-25%	20 (31%)			
High 26-50%	12 (19%)	•	 Overall pCR rate was low (8%), rega There was no substantial de-escala The HER2+ subgroup had a pCR rate 	
Very high >50%	11 (17%)	•		

, NAC neoadjuvant chemotherapy, cN clinical N stage pre-NAC, pN pathologic N stage post-NAC, pCR pathologic complete response, HR+ hormone receptor positive, HER2+ HER2/neu positive, HR- hormone receptor negative, HER2- HER2/neu negative, BCT breast conservation therapy, TM total mastectomy, cTM completion total mastectomy, NR not recorded

Conclusions

Contact Email: jslee7@upmc.edu



nt Outcomes	n (%)				
gery	1/64 (2%)				
		43/64 (67%)			
vation therapy	20/64 (31%)				
BCT E ot successful red	9/20 (45%) 5/20 (25%) 6/20 (30%)				
conservation	14/63 (22%)				
ctomy rate	49/63 (77%)				
cally node posi	32/64 (50%)				
+ downstage to	5/32 (12%)				
+ persistently p	28/32 (88%)				
		5/64 (8%)			
type	HR+/HER2- HR+/HER2+ HR-/HER2+ HR-/HER2-	0/53 (0%) 4/8 (50%) 1/3 (33%) 0 (0%)			

gardless of histologic subtype. ation of surgical management. ate of 45%.