Poster ID: 786856: Lymphatic Micro Surgical Preventive Healing Approach (LYMPHA) – Lending a Helping Hand For Prevention of Enlarging Arm .



Dr Somashekhar SP, Dr Rohit, Dr Ashok, Dr Ashwin, Dr Srikant, Dr Archa Manipal Comprehensive Cancer Centre , Bangalore, INDIA

- Breast Cancer related lymphedema (BCRL) remains a potentially life-altering sequela of breast cancer treatment that affects approximately one in five patients with a reported incidence varying from 5% to more than 50 %
- Well-established risk factors include ALND, regional lymph node radiation (RLNR), high BMI at time of diagnosis, edema 3–5% within 3 months of surgery, edema 5–10% at any time after surgery, and cellulitis infections.
- Sentinel lymph node (SLN) biopsy has reduced the severity of swelling to nearly 6% patients (from 2 to 7%).
- Axillary reverse mapping(ARM) method developed aiming at identifying and preserverving lymphatics draining the arm has also help decreased the incidence of lymphedema.
- Lymphatic Microsurgical Preventive Healing Approach (LY.M.P.H.A.) is a surgical technique proposed for patients with operable breast cancer requiring an axillary dissection consisting of carrying out lymphatico-venous anastamosis (LVA) between arm lymphatics identified by injecting blue dye or ICG in the arm and an axillary vein branch simultaneously.
- > This preventative microsurgical procedure was first described by Boccardo, Campisi et al in 2009.

AIMS:

> To evaluate the feasibility of LYMPHA procedure in our setup.

> To evaluate the efficacy of LYMPHA procedure in preventing lymphedema post ALND

MATERIALS & METHODS:

Study Design: Prospective Observational

Study Place: Manipal Hospital, Bangalore

Number Of Patients: 50

Study Duration: October 2017-December 2019

Inclusion Criteria: Unilateral breast cancer requiring ALND

Exclusion Criteria: Bilateral breast cancer, previous lymphedema, Drug allergy ICG, Not consenting for procedure.



Defining Lymphedema in OUR Study

- Change In volume on subsequent reading of >15% (based on formula for volume of the frustum of a cone)
- More than 3 splashes on the operated arm on ICG lymphography
- Patient reported arm swelling or heaviness during course of treatment & follow up.

Follow-up Protocol

- Baseline Volumetry Pre-op + Intra-op ICG Lymphangiography
- Volumetry assessment + patient reported outcomes at 3, 6 & 12 months
- ➢ ICG lympahngiography on 6 & 12 months



$V = h * (C2 + Cc + c2)/(12 * \pi),$



 $V_{Limb} = V_A + V_B + V_C + V_D + V_E$



F	P	Ŧ	P	F	
1		$\left\{\right\}$	$\left\{\right\}$	$\left\{\right\}$	8
2	Suger 1 State	Suge B Suge B	Stage B	Stage IV Startier(-+)	Stage V (Marci-)

Stage	Description	
0	No dermal backflow pattern	
1	Splash pattern around the axilla	
11	Stardust pattern limited between the axilla and the olecranon	
111	Stardust pattern exceeding the olecranon	
IV	Stardust pattern observed throughout the limb	
V	Diffuse pattern and stardust pattern observed throughout the limb	

m

Steps Of LYMPHA Procedure :











Injecting ICG

Identifying a cut Lymphatic

End to End Lympho-venular Anastomosis

Invagination Technique

Checking For Patency

	Total, N=50	
Age (years)	50.2±9.4 (32-68)	
BMI	22±6.2 (18-32)	
<25	36	
25-30	10	
>30	04	
Stage T1 T2 T3	04 22 08	
T4	16	
SLNB	8	
Total Number of Nodes retrieved	16±7.5 (10-28)	
Positive Lymph Nodes	4±5.2 (2-25)	
MASTECTOMY/BCS	34/16	
NACT : Yes/No	34/16	
NAHT : YES/No	8/42	
Adjuvant Chemotherapy : Yes/No	50/0	
Adjuvant Radiotheraphy: Yes/No	50/0	



RESULTS :

Able to identify lymphatic vessel	46 (92%)
Reason for Not Performing LYMPHA Not able to visualise Lymphatics Extensive disease in axilla	2 2
No of anastamosis per patient Avg (Range)	2.4±1.2 (2-5)
Duration of Surgery Avg (Range)	42 ± 20.5min (30-60 min)
Technique used For Lympha Procedure End to End End to Side Invaginate	30 10 06

Parameter	Baseline	3 Months	6 Months	12 Months
Drain Removal days	15±3.4 (10-24)	-	-	-
Volumetry Based Lympedema	No	No	No N= 40/46	4 N=38/46
ICG Lymphography	No	Not Done	3 N=40/46	4 N=38/46
Patient reported Outcome	-	4	5	4



DISCUSSION:

- Boccardo et al in their experience with LYMPHA have reported a lymphedema rate of 4% over 4 year follow up in 74 patients.
- In our series with a mean follow up of 20.4±2.8months(8-26) 4 out of 46 patients had lymphedema. (Volumetry & ICG Lymphography)
- As per PRO 5 patients developed heaviness & swelling of which for 1 patients it gradually resolved by 10 months.
- Patency of LVA was well documented at 4 years by boccardo et al, in our series almost all had patent anastamosis at 12 month follow up. (38 patients)
- All the patients in our series received radiotheraphy and adjuvant chemotheraphy in form of taxanes which has been reported to be one of risk factors for lympedema.
- Since most of patients had BMI less than 25 we could not assess the risk with obesity, but 2 patients who developed obvious lymphedema had BMI more than 30.
- Most of the reported single center experiences reported were able to get lymphatics vessel and vein for anastamosis in > 90% of patients which was also true with us. (92%)
- > Average number of anastamosis is reported in most series is 2.8 (1-5), which was 2.4 in our series.
- The additional time duration for LVA as been reported to be between 15 minutes to 45 minutes which was also the same with us 42 ± 20.5min (30-60 min)

CONCLUSION:

- LYMPHA is feasible, safe, and practical method for the primary prevention of clinical lymphedema in our setup.
- This technique serves to significantly reduce the rate of clinical LE in breast cancer patients.
- As our experience grows, we anticipate that the majority of patients undergoing ALND would benefit from the LYMPHA procedure.
- There is need for a multidisciplinary approach to diagnose, treat, and prevent BCRL.
- Success necessitates communication and coordination with a patient's medical, surgical, radiation oncologists & plastic surgery team and nurse practitioners as well as with their physical therapists.
- Larger multi-institution and randomized trials are warranted for defining patient selection and looking into long term outcomes of the LYMPHA procedure.

References

4. Tessa C. Gillespie, Hoda E. Sayegh, Cheryl L. Brunelle, Kayla M. Daniell, Alphonse G. Taghian. Breast cancer-related lymphedema: risk factors, precautionary measures, and treatments, Gland Surg. 2018 Aug; 7(4): 379–

403. doi: 10.21037/gs.2017.11.04

5.Boccardo F, Casabona F, De Cian F, et al. Lymphatic microsurgical preventing healing approach (LYMPHA) for primary surgical prevention of breast cancer-related lymphedema: Over 4 years follow-up.Microsurgery 2014;34:421-4. 10.1002/micr.22254 6. Feldman S, Bansil H, Ascherman J, et al. Single institution experience with lymphatic microsurgical preventive healing approach (LYMPHA) for the primary prevention of lymphedema. Ann Surg Oncol2015;22:3296-301. 10.1245/s10434-015-4721-y

^{1.}Boccardo F, Casabona F, De Cian F, Friedman D, Villa G, Bogliolo S, Ferrero S, Murelli F, Campisi C. Lymphedema microsurgical preventive healing approach: A new technique for primary prevention of arm lymphedema after mastectomy. Ann Surg Oncol 2009;16:703–708.

^{2.}Boccardo F, Fulcheri E, Villa G, Molinari L, Campisi C, Dessalvi S, Murdaca G, Campisi C, Santi PL, Parodi A, Puppo F, Campisi C. Lymphatic microsurgery to treat lympedema: Techniques and indication for better results. Ann Plast Surg 2013;71(2):191–195.
3.Brahma B, Yamamoto, T. Breast cancer treatment-related lymphedema (BCRL): An overview of the literature and updates in microsurgery reconstructions. Eur J Surg Oncol 2019 Jul;45(7):1138-1145. doi: 10.1016/j.ejso.2019.01.004