Disclosures



Can 'sample proportion' predict upstage of ductal carcinoma in-situ lesions of the breast to guide selective use of sentinel lymph node biopsy?

Sonam Kapadia, MD; Albert Lee, MD; Amy H. Kaji, MD, PhD; Amy Polverini, MD; Junko Ozao-Choy, MD; Christine Dauphine, MD

Poster ID# 787434 Email: skapadia@dhs.lacounty.gov

We do not have relevant financial relationships with commercial interests that pertain to the content of our presentation

Can 'sample proportion' predict upstage of ductal carcinoma in-situ lesions of the breast to guide selective use of sentinel lymph node biopsy?

Sonam Kapadia, MD; Albert Lee, MD; Amy H. Kaji, MD, PhD; Amy Polverini, MD; Junko Ozao-Choy, MD; Christine Dauphine, MD



BACKGROUND

- Upstage rate from ductal carcinoma in-situ (DCIS) on core needle biopsy to invasive carcinoma at definitive excision ranges 11-50%^{1,2}
- Difficulty in predicting upstage per conventional clinicopathologic factors results in discordant sentinel lymph node biopsy (SLNB) utilization

arbor-UCLA

 'Proportion of lesion sampled' as a direct measure of sampling error has not been directly studied in predicting upstage in DCIS

OBJECTIVE

 To evaluate whether estimation of the proportion of lesion biopsied, or 'sample proportion,' on post-biopsy mammogram was predictive of DCIS upstage, so as to identify cohorts at very low or very high likelihood of upstage to improve SLNB allocation

METHODS

- Retrospective review of pure DCIS cases from 2008 to 2018 at a single institution
- Clinical, radiographic & tumor factors, including breast radiologists' measurement of 'proportion of lesion biopsied,' were analyzed to determine predictability of DCIS upstage

RESULTS

- 11-year study period 231 female patients diagnosed with DCIS on core needle biopsy
- 57 (24.7%) patients upstaged to invasive disease at final surgical excision
- 167 (72.3%) patients underwent SLNB at the index operation of whom:
 - 49 (29.3%) upstaged to invasive cancer
 - 15 (9%) found to have positive SLNB

REFERENCES

Miyake T, Shimazu K, Ohashi H et al. Indication for sentinel lymph node biopsy for breast cancer when core biopsy shows ductal carcinoma in situ. Am J Surg 2011;202:59-65.
 Huang N, Si J, Yan B et al. Trends and clinicopathological predictors of axillary evaluation in ductal carcinoma in situ patients treated with breast-conserving therapy. Cancer Medicine 2018;7(1):56-63.

Table 1. Clinical and Tumor Characteristics of Patients (n=231) 20 mm (2.5-120mm) Median lesion size (range) **Biopsy modality** Stereo-guided core biopsy 177 (76.6%) Ultrasound guided biopsy 49 (21.2%) Nuclear grade Low 41 (17.7%) Intermediate 67 (29.0%) High 122 (52.8%) Mass lesion 61 (26.4%) Suspicion for invasion 47 (20.3%) Casting calcification morphology 58 (25.1%) Clip migration 21 (9.1%) Post-biopsy hematoma 29 (12.6%) veedle gauge 82 (35.5%) 8 16 (6.9%) 23 (10.0%) 9 10 25 (10.8%) 11 20 (8.7%) 12 9 (3.9%) 1 (0.4%) 14 32 (13.9%) 18 1 (0.4%) entinel lymph node biopsy 167 (72.3%) Positive SLNB 15 (9.0%) Surgical procedure Mastectomv 109 (47.2%) Lumpectomy 122 (52.8%) Percent lesion removed on biopsy 10% or less 62 (26.8%) → 31 (50%) upstaged 11-50% 41 (17.7%) 51-89% 41 (17.7%) 70 (30.3%) → 4 (5.7%) upstaged 90% or greater Unable to assess post-biopsy 17 (7.4%)

RESULTS

RESULTS				
Table 2. Radiographic & Clinicopathologic Factors Associated with DCIS Upstage Outcomes				
	Univariate Odds Ratio (95% CI)	p-value	Multivariate Odds Ratio (95% Cl)	p-value
10% or less removed (n=62)	OR=7.9, 3.9-16.1	p<0.0001	-	
90% or more removed (n=70)	OR=0.1, 0.05-0.4	p<0.0001	OR=0.2, 0.1-0.6	p=0.005
Mass lesion (n=61)	OR=4.5, 2.3-8.7	p<0.0001	OR=3.9, 1.8-8.3	p=0.0005
Suspicious for invasion (n=47)	OR=3.7, 1.9-7.4	p<0.0001	OR=2.8, 1.3-6.3	p=0.01
PR positive (n=147)	OR=0.4, 0.2-0.7	p=0.003	OR=0.6, 0.3-1.3	p=0.2
Biopsy nuclear grade (n=230)	-	p=0.04	OR=1.6, 0.9-2.9	p=0.08
Combined variable analysis:				
≤10% lesion removal on biopsy + mass lesion + suspicion for invasion			OR=14.0, 2.9- 68.3	p=0.001
≥90% lesion removal on biopsy + NO mass lesion + NO suspicion for invasion			OR = 0.04, 0.006-0.31	p=0.002
DISCUSSION				
 With an overall upstage rate of 25%, the likelihood of upstage in patients with 90% or greater sample proportion was significantly lower (OR 0.2, p=0.005) Adding 'sample proportion' ≤10% or ≥90% biopsied to the post-procedure report may be useful in conjunction with other clinicopathologic factors in identifying a subset of patients at very low or very high risk of upstage Nearly a third of the patients in our cohort could have avoided SI NB eltogaths had these factors have aconidered 				

CONCLUSIONS

• 'Sample proportion' of the core biopsy is an independent predictor of DCIS upstage to invasive breast cancer

 In this cohort, biopsy removal of ≥90% of mammographically visible lesions significantly decreased the likelihood of upstage, suggesting diminished benefit to SLNB at the index operation