The Use of Bioimpedance Spectroscopy in the Evaluation of Simplified Lymphatic Abstract (ID #582008) Microsurgical Preventing Healing Approach (S-LYMPHA) for the Prevention of Lymphedema After Axillary Lymph Node Dissection UNIVERSITY OF MIAMI MILLER SCHOOL A. Allen¹, J. Moore¹, R. Dreher², M. Moller¹, S. Kesmodel¹, E. Avisar¹ ¹University of Miami/Jackson Memorial Hospital, Miami FL, of MEDICINE HEALTH SYSTEM ²Department of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery UFCSPA/ISCMPA



Background

- Lymphedema (LE) can occur in up to 30% of patients who undergo axillary lymph node dissection (ALND).
- Simplified Lymphatic Microsurgical Preventing Healing Approach (S-LYMPHA) is a lymphatic flow preservation approach that has been shown to decrease the incidence of postoperative LE using the metric of arm circumference.
- Bioelectrical impedance ratio (L-Dex) measures changes in lymphatic flow in order to detect LE.
- L-Dex was introduced in our institution in June 2017 as a routine measurement in patients after ALND with or without S-LYMPHA as well as in patients with chronic lymphedema.
- In this study we use L-Dex ratio measurement as an objective assessment for LE in patients who underwent S-LYMPHA.

Methods

- We performed a retrospective review of all the patient who underwent L Dex and correlated it with ALND and S-LYMPHA data at a single institution.
- Medical records were reviewed for clinical evidence of LE.
- Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 16.0 software.

	ALND Alone	ALND with SLYMPHA	p-value
	54 (62.8%)	32 (37.2%)	
L-Dex *	7.45 (-91 to 82)	0.60 (-8.4 to 9.2)	0.01
Abnormal L-Dex	29 (52,7%)	0 (0%)	< 0.001
Clinical LE	39 (70.9%)	2 (6.2%)	< 0.001
* Data reported as n Bioelectrical impeda	nedian (range), L-Dex = ance ratio measure above	Bioelectrical impedance ratio, Ab e +10 or below -10, LE = lymphed	normal L-Dex dema.

- LYMPHA.
- LE occurred in 39 (70.9%) of the patients who underwent ALND alone and 2 (6.2%) of those who underwent ALND with S-LYMPHA (p<0.001).
- The median L-Dex was 7.45 (range -91 to 82) and 0.60 (range -8.4 to 9.2) for ALND alone and ALND with S-LYMPHA, respectively (p = 0.01).
- Among patients with abnormal L-Dex ratios (> +10 or < -10), there were 0 patients who had undergone ALND with S-LYMPHA and 29 (52.7%) patients who had undergone ALND alone (p < 0.001).
- 29 of 39 (74.3%) of ALND only patients with LE had an abnormal L-Dex ratio and 0 of 2 ALND with S-LYMPHA patients with LE were found to have an abnormal L-Dex ratio.

Mar 7.

Limitations

isproportionality of cases compared control.

nclusion of patients with chronic mphedema.

Conclusion

-Dex is accurate in the identification of linical LE in patients who have ndergone ALND with S-LYMPHA.

-Dex may be considered as an djunct in the diagnosis of LE in this atient population.

-LYMPHA is a reliable and effective echnique that reduces the rates of ost-operative lymphadema in breast ancer patients.

References

. Ozmen T, Mesa L, Yan Z, et al. Evaluation of Simplified Lymphatic Microsurgical Preventing Healing Approach (S-LYMPHA) for the Prevention of Breast Cancer–Related Clinical Lymphedema After Axillary Lymph Node Dissection. Ann Surg. 2018 May 23. doi: 10.1097/SLA.000000000002827. [Epub ahead of print].

2. Bevilacqua JL, Kattan MW, Changhong Y, et al. Nomograms for Predicting the Risk of Arm Lymphedema after Axillary Dissection in Breast Cancer. Ann Surg Oncol. 2012

Aug;19(8):2580-9. doi: 10.1245/s10434-012-2290-x. Epub 2012

3. Petrek JA, Heelan MC. Incidence of Breast Carcinoma-Related Lymphedema. Cancer. vol. 1998 Dec 15;83(12 Suppl American):2776-81.

4. Fu, MR, Cleland CM, Guth AA, et al. L-Dex Ratio in Detecting and Diagnosing Breast Cancer-P elated Lymphedema: Reliability, Sensitivity, and Specificity.*Lymphology*. 2013 Jun; 46(2): 85–96.