# Assessing the Clinical Outcomes of a Multidisciplinary Breast Benign Concordance Conference

Will Gunderson MS2, Collin Connor MS2, Amanda Amin MD, Christa Balanoff MD, Jamie Wagner DO, Kelsey Larson MD University of Kansas School of Medicine; Department of General Surgery, Division of Breast Surgery University of Kansas Cancer Center, Kansas City KS

# THE UNIVERSITY OF KANSAS CANCER CENTER

### **Background**

- Historically, high-risk lesions have been surgically excised due to concern for concurrent cancer.<sup>1,2</sup>
- New data indicates many may be safely followed, but guidelines delineating which patients require surgery and which can be followed are lacking.<sup>3,4,5</sup>
- One strategy is for multidisciplinary meeting to review such cases and provide management recommendations.

#### **Objective**

To describe the clinical outcome of patients who had high risk breast lesions reviewed by the University of Kansas Cancer Center benign concordance conference (BCC).

#### **Methods**

- Single institution retrospective chart review of patients with high risk lesions reviewed at BCC in 2014.
  - Concurrent or prior breast cancer patients excluded.
- 3 year follow-up imaging, clinical, and pathologic data was reviewed for missed cancers
- Data included demographics, diagnosis, recommendations from BCC, and clinical outcomes.

#### **Results – Demographics & Pathology Data (Table 1)**

- 254 patients meeting inclusion/exclusion criteria were reviewed.
- Most had biopsy as result of abnormal mammogram (75.2%)
- Most biopsies were concordant (96.5%)

**References** 

Table 1: Demographics & Pathology	Total Population (n=254)
Average Age +/- STD	53 +/- 12
Gender	
Female	250 (98.4%)
Male	4 (1.6%)
Race	
Asian	3 (1.2%)
African American	31 (12.2%)
Caucasian	208 (81.9%)
Unknown	12 (4.7%)
Insurance	
Medicare	80 (31.4%)
Medicaid	5 (2.0%)
Private	165 (65.0%)
Unknown	4 (1.6%)
Family Hx BC	
Yes	23 (9.0%)
Νο	100 (39.3%)
Abnormal Breast Imaging	
Mammogram	191 (75.2%)
Ultrasound	51 (20.0%)
MRI	12 (4.7%)
Concordance	
Concordant	245 (96.4%)
Discordant	4 (1.5%)
Pathology	
Atypia	14 (5.5%)
Papilloma	24 (9.4%)
LCIS	3 (1.2%)
Sclerosing Lesion	13 (5.1%)
Radial Scar	9 (3.5%)
Other *	187 (73.6%)

\*Other most commonly included fibrocystic change/ fibrous breast tissue/ stromal fibrosis (n=88), fibroadenoma (n=23), usual ductal hyperplasia (n=18).

#### **Results – 3 year Follow-up**

## Discussion

# **Results – BCC Recommendations & Surgical Pathology**

BCC recommendations were for imaging follow-up 74.4% (n=186); surgical consult 23.2% (n=58); and high risk referral 2.4% (n=6).



 Imaging follow-up included 3 mo. 4.3% (n=8); 6 mo. 51.1% (n=95); or annual 44.6% (n=83). • Of 58 surgery referral patients, 79.3% (n=46) underwent surgical excision. • Upgrade to cancer occurred in 23.9% (n=11).

• In 3 year follow-up, two new cancers (1.1%) were diagnosed: one in the contralateral breast (17) months) and a second in the ipsilateral breast differing quadrant (27 months). • Both of these were diagnosed in imaging follow-up patients.

• No patients had missed cancers at the biopsy site as a result of BCC recommendation for imaging or high risk referral rather than surgical excision.

 Multidisciplinary conference approach to high risk lesions safely decreased unnecessary surgical referrals and operations while not missing any cancer diagnoses. • Efforts to identify which patients required BCC discussion are underway to further streamline the multidisciplinary review process.

• Follow-up for multiple years of BCC to demonstrate outcomes over time is underway. Additional work considering cost analysis and implementation at other institutions would be beneficial going forward.





<sup>1.</sup>Racz JM, Carter JM, Degnim AC. Challenging Atypical Breast Lesions Including Flat Epithelial Atypia, Radial Scar, and Intraductal Papilloma. Ann Surg Oncol. 2017;24(10):2842-7.

<sup>2.</sup>Degnim AC, King TA. Surgical management of high-risk breast lesions. Surg Clin North Am. 2013;93(2):329-40.

<sup>3.</sup>Brem RF, Lechner MC, Jackman RJ, Rapelyea JA, Evans WP, Philpotts LE, et al. Lobular neoplasia at percutaneous breast biopsy: variables associated with carcinoma at surgical excision. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2008;190(3):637-41.

<sup>4.</sup>Krishnamurthy S, Bevers T, Kuerer H, Yang WT. Multidisciplinary considerations in the management of high-risk breast lesions. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2012;198(2):W132-40.

<sup>5.</sup>Wallis MG. How do we manage overdiagnosis/overtreatment in breast screening? Clin Radiol. 2017.